WD2239.B490.

App F IX 1]

192 .

File No. PSD 23

Previous References ( )

Serial Nos. 16 19

Later references

268-41-17
" 237-48-110

GENERAL & REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial No. 4

Part B for August 1973

SUBJECT

Recommend the grant of a Special Certificate in Respect of Bridge Bonds to Algoma Mills

Draft dated 2.7.1973

To the Dep. Comr

Govt have no objection to the issue of these two Bonds.

1801

G. R. S. 11] 1923

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Inezdi

NOTES.

File No. 250 / 1923

FILE HEADING.

Grant of Sveta chathri and Hagalu Divatige Biradu s to Nijjuru matt.

From

The Inezdi Comee

C. No. 961 Dated 26. 8. 25 Received 28. 8.

Diary No.	Enclos. 122	Spare Copies. —	Serial No. 16
1826			

BRIEI ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Recommends the grant of Sveta chathri and Hagalu Divatige Biradu s to Nijjuru matt, and submits the matter for the orders of Govt.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Inez. J. No. 250-23 Sls 1-10 and 12-15 are herewith submitted.

The grant of a Pahadari to the Swamy of Nijjuru matt in Tumkur in the State had been

NOTES—First Sheet.

eneral and Revenue Secretariat

File No. 250 of 19 23

Serial No.

Submitted to H. H. The Mahanaj. and under H. Hs' gracious orders, all the insignias claimed by the Swamy and recommended by the Mez. Comee with the exception of Sinhalalatada Palaki with Pancha kalasa, Sveta chatri and Hagal Divatige, were allowed to the two latter, The Mez Comee was requested to further examine the admissibility of the Biadus referred to and submit his views.

The Mez. Comee has now examined the matter and has recommended the grant of the 2 Biadus - Sveta chatri and Hagal Divatige - to the Matt - His present recommendation to these Biadus is based on the documentary evidence which was already before Govt, and no fresh material is now put forward in its support

Secretary

4 Reference is solicited M/4-6-25 to the Mysore decision

NOTE—Contn Sheet

letter of 21-4-25 from the Asst

Y

X 9

1921

File No. of 19

Serial No.

Swamis Claim & Sucha Chatki and Hafeldinge might be further examined. The Mus Com^n^ to Hon rec^d^ explains how these Issues have been based upon past judicial decisions, and how the Ullam matt which is one of the five important Swachan matts is entitled to their use. This was also clearly explained in the first members final note dated 11.4.25.

Further the Murasi matt which is not one of the Swanchan matts is enjoying these Benefits.

If so directed a D.F.N. as regards these Issues will be sub^d^.

Sd
3/6/25
Rev. Secy

5.6.25.

10.6.25.

18/6/25.

Sec. D.F.N. may be prep. accordingly

10/6

11.6.25.

D.F.N. Submitted
AV 18-6-25. 18/6/25

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

1821

and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. | of 19

Serial No.

9) Draft Final Note Tribal

16.6.25

Rev. Secy/10/ [illegible]

11) Final draft D. O. Secy

22.6.25

22.6.25

457 25/6/25

Rev. Secy

2) [illegible]

25/6

1.6.25

Secy [illegible] 26/6

NOTE—Contn Sheet

Final Note by the First Member of Council.

Subject:—

Grant of Birudus to the Swamy of the Ujjaini Mutt.

15 With reference to the Huzur Secretary's D.O. letter No.227 dated the 21st April 1925 to the Dewan suggesting that the admissibility of the two Birudus viz., Hagaludivatige and Swethachatri, to the Swami of the Ujjani Mutt be further examined, I beg to state that the Muzrai Commissioner who was addressed in the matter report that in the litigation in 1861-62 between the Brahmins of Tumkur to and the then Swami of the Ujjani Mutt, the Huzur Adalat Court decid that the Swami "should not be molested in the use of a torch at day time, a drum on horse-back, also a white chatri and a red chatri". This decision was, in appeal, confirmed by the Judicial Commissione The Muzrai Commissioner observes that this is the only reliable - evidence available on the subject and that the Rahadaries issued in 1813, 1814 and 1823 do not enumerate the Birudus allowed to the Mut The matter having been adjudged in favour of the Mutt by the highes tribunal in the State so far back as 1861-62, the Muzrai Commission is of opinion that the claim is sufficiently established. Even if the matter is considered afresh, the Muzrai Commissioner observes that the Ujjani Mutt is of equal standing with the Balehonnur Mutt and that as the latter is allowed the two Birudus in question, the former also may be permitted to use them. I agree with the Muzrai Commissioner in the recommendation made by him.

I may also mention in this connection that the Swami of the Murgi Mutt which is not one of the Panchachar Mutts is using these two Birudus.

I beg therefore to recommend that sanction may be graciously

accorded to the use of the two Birudus mentioned above by the swami during his tour in the State.

OFFG. FIRST MEMBER OF COUNCIL.

26/6/25

26-6-1925.

Submitted to His Highness

I submit herewith a Final Note by the FIRST Member of Council on the subject of the grant of Birudus to the Swamy of the Ujjaini Mutt

for His Highness gracious approval.

Bangalore,
27th June 1925.

Dewan.

Record of Cases submitted for the Orders of His Highness the Maharaja

Subject.



Use of certain Birudus by the Swami of the Ujjani Mutt during his tour in the State.

804

Order.

~~KRW~~

30/6/25

Recd

21) Dwd 2
1-7-25

Revenue
ariat.

Mur File No. 250 of 1925.

Serial No.

22) Draft letter to the Mag Cr. is sub? for appl

RL
2/7/25 - 2 appl

2.7.25

(82 member)

31/27

Appl

2/7

2) Issue
2.7.25.

17. To. mess Caveat Nº 78 of 2.7.25

States that Govt see no objection to the use of rupees Bundles in question by the Matt and requests that a Rahadari may be issued in his favour and that a copy thereof may be sent to Govt. Bom

Sun 17

16 From the Mag Cr. Nº 966 of 23-24 of 27.7.25 Sends a copy of the Endorsement issued in favour of the Petitioner herein & requests permission for such Rahadari as he has

for

NOTE—Contn Sheet

GRS III 21

File No. 50 of 1923 169

Serial No.

The Rahadary raised as in order
to submit for perusal

The M.C. requests permission
to have the rahadaries issued by him
Printed in the Govt Press & a large no
of Copies are reqd for distribution to the
local offices, the M.C. may be
authorised to have them Printed
in the Govt Press.

Govt rept is submd for approval
& orders

The rahadaries are permanent recd

31/7/25

Rev. dept

4 8 25

REVENUE
5 AUG
SECT.

228/88

8/8

8 8 25.

Draft put up for
record for printing the Rahadaries
for Govt Press with please copy of
Explanation in Penal

Draft approved
by
11/8

11 8 25.

Draft
14/8

14 8 25

NOTE—Contn Sheet

Inwr File No. 250 of 1923

Serial No.	
19.	I he Inwr Concurs: No 211 & 17 & 25 states that there is no objn for the Rahadarys being printed in the Govt Press & requests him to Se. for record in this office Six Copies of the Rahadareys hitherto issued & of those to be issued hereafter. No 212 & 17 & 25. To Supdt Govt Prtg forwards Copy of the above for his information & necessary.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

File No. of 19

Serial
No.

4

Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

23-24.
re bundle of papers

Dated Bangalore, 26th M a y 1925.

From

REV SECRETARIAT. 27MAY1925 MUZRAI

Dy. 1821

C. S. Balasundaram Iyer, Esq. B.A.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

Muzg. 250-23-1

REV 27 MAY 1925 D. SECTION.

To

The Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Revenue Department.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.1585/M.F.250-23-15 dated -- 4th May 1925, regarding the right of the Swamigalu of the Ujjini - Matha for the use of Swetachatri and Hagalu Deevatige, I have the honor to invite kind reference to this office letters dated 24th M[illegible] and 15th December 1924 which go fully into the question of the --- insignias which may be permitted to be used by the Swamigalu in his processional marches.

2. In the litigation of 1861-62 between the Brahmins of Tumkur town and the then visiting Swamy of the Ujjini Matha, the right of the Swami to use Sweta Chatri and Hagaludeevatige was not admitted by the original court i.e., the Principal Sadar Munsiff of Chitaldrug Division. An appeal against this decision was preferred and in ---- modifying the decree of the original Court, it was ordered by the - Huzur Adalat Court that "the defendant should not use a chowri to the trunk of elephant, and that he should not be molested in the use of a torch-at-day-time, a drum on horse back, also a white chatri and a red chatri."

3. A special appeal against this latter decision having been filed by the petitioners to the Judicial Commissioner's court, the Judicial Commissioner was pleased to order as follows:--

[illegible]

Though the original or an authenticated copy of the decree of the Principal Sadar Munsiff of Chitaldrug Division has not been filed (as the original is lost and copies are not obtainable), --- authenticated copies of the appeal Registers relating to the two latter documents have been obtained from the Chief Court of Mysore and - produced by the agent of the Ujjini Matha. These are submitted -- herewith and they bear out the claim of the Swami for Hagaludevatege and Svetachatri. The matter having thus been adjudged by the higest tribunal in the State so far back as 1861-62 when one of the predecessors of the present claimant was on a visit to Mysore, it seems to me that the claim is sufficiently supported and established.

3. These judicial decisions are the only reliable pieces of -- evidence in the matter, as precedent the usual safe guide in such matters sheds no light, since the Rahadaris issued in 1813, 1823 and 1924 do not enumerate the Pirudus allowed to the Matt. On a ---- perusal of the judgment of the Huzur Adalat Court it is seen that the claim to Hagalu divatige was granted on the argument that the ---- Ujjini Matt was of the same standing as the Balehonnur or Balenalli Matt, as one of the five Principal Sivachar Matts in India, and that as the Balehonnur Matt was entitled to Hagalu Divatige (in contradiction of the assertion of the Brahmin plaintiffs in that suit that no -- Sivachar Matt was entitled to that honour) there was no reason to - reject the claim of the Ujjini Matt to a similar honour. The -- claim to this major honour (the main item of contention in that -- suit) having been conceded, it was presumed that the minor claims (among which was the Svetachatri) could be conceded a fortiori.

Whether we follow the judicial decisions of 1861-62 as --- conclusive or proceed to consider the matter a priori on the argument of analogy and equality of standing and status with the Balehonnur Matt - a parity that is generally admitted, it seems to me that the two Birudus in question, viz., Hagalu Divatige and Swetachatri --- which are enjoyed by the Balehonnur Matt, are admissible to this matt also.

submit the matter for the orders of Government.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

26/5/[illegible]

for Muzrai Commissioner.

(Absent from station.)

KSR.

Section Mucy.

File No. 250 – 23.

Serial No. 17.

Diary No of letter replied to

No. Your endt
quoted in
Ref records

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the *192*

To The Mucy Commr

Date of issue 2.7.25.

Signed by Mr. D. Ramaiya

Sir,

With reference to
the correspondence ending
with your letter no. C. 964
of 23.24 dated the
20th May 1925 regarding
the right of the Sirkar in
the Nizam mark for the
use of Sweetmeat in
Hyderabad, I am
directed to state that
Govt have no objection
to the use of these two
words

over

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

Swami, and request
that a Zahadary may
be issued in his favour
granting him these
privileges in addn to those
referred to in this Office
letter No 1585/Mus 250-23-15
dated the 4th May 1925.

2. A copy of the Zahadari
so issued may be kindly
sent to this Office for
record.

Encl: Nil

Yours &c

2/7

2.7.25

Resident

2/7/25

Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

764/B.24
one

Dated Bangalore, 29th July 1925.

From

S. Balasundaram Iyer, Esq., B.A.,

Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

Bangalore.

GENL. & REV. SECTT. Br. Mad. 30. JUL 1925

GEN. & REV. SECTT. 31 JUL 1925 Mysore

D. No. 169

Revs 250-23-0

To

The Secretary to the Government

of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

Revenue Department.

Sir,

Referring to para 2 of your letter No.7/M.F.150-33-17 dated 2nd July 1925, I have the honor to forward herewith for record a copy of the Pahadari issued in favour of the Swamigalu of the Ujjaini Matha.

2. In this connection I beg to state that Pahadaris granted to important mathas are of a permanent nature and deserve to be carefully preserved for purposes of future reference. I therefore solicit permission of Government to get them printed in the Government Press. This will, moreover save the trouble and time involved in the preparation of a large number of copies required for distribution to the Deputy Commissioners and Amildars.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

S Balasundaram Iyer

Muzrai Commissioner.

KSR.

RDO No 4/25-26
Dt 11-7-25

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರ ಹೆಸರು :

ತಂದೆ/ಗಂಡನ ಹೆಸರು :

ಹುದ್ದೆಯ ಹೆಸರು :

ಕಛೇರಿಯ ವಿಳಾಸ :

ಮೊಬೈಲ್ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ :

ಇ-ಮೇಲ್ ವಿಳಾಸ :

ಸೇವೆಗೆ ಸೇರಿದ ದಿನಾಂಕ :

ಪ್ರಸ್ತುತ ಹುದ್ದೆಗೆ ಸೇರಿದ ದಿನಾಂಕ :

ಹುಟ್ಟಿದ ದಿನಾಂಕ :

ಸೇವೆಯಿಂದ ನಿವೃತ್ತಿ ಹೊಂದುವ ದಿನಾಂಕ :

ಶೈಕ್ಷಣಿಕ ಅರ್ಹತೆಗಳು :

ಪ್ರಸ್ತುತ ಹುದ್ದೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ ಸೇವಾವಧಿ :

ಸೇವಾ ವಿವರಗಳು :

ಸೇವೆಯಿಂದ ಬಿಡುಗಡೆಯಾದ ದಿನಾಂಕ :

ಸೇವೆಯಿಂದ ಬಿಡುಗಡೆಯಾಗಲು ಕಾರಣ :

ಸೇವೆಯಿಂದ ಬಿಡುಗಡೆಯಾಗಲು ಕೋರಿರುವ ದಿನಾಂಕ :

ಸೇವೆಯಿಂದ ಬಿಡುಗಡೆಯಾಗಲು ಕೋರಿರುವ ಕಾರಣ :

ಸೇವೆಯಿಂದ ಬಿಡುಗಡೆಯಾಗಲು ಕೋರಿರುವ ಕಾರಣ ಸಮಂಜಸವಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯಿಸಿದೆ.

ಸೇವೆಯಿಂದ ಬಿಡುಗಡೆಯಾಗಲು ಕೋರಿರುವ ಕಾರಣ ಸಮಂಜಸವಾಗಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂದು ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯಿಸಿದೆ.

ಸೇವೆಯಿಂದ ಬಿಡುಗಡೆಯಾಗಲು ಕೋರಿರುವ ಕಾರಣ ಸಮಂಜಸವಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯಿಸಿದೆ.

ಸೇವೆಯಿಂದ ಬಿಡುಗಡೆಯಾಗಲು ಕೋರಿರುವ ಕಾರಣ ಸಮಂಜಸವಾಗಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂದು ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯಿಸಿದೆ.

ಸೇವೆಯಿಂದ ಬಿಡುಗಡೆಯಾಗಲು ಕೋರಿರುವ ಕಾರಣ ಸಮಂಜಸವಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯಿಸಿದೆ.

ಸೇವೆಯಿಂದ ಬಿಡುಗಡೆಯಾಗಲು ಕೋರಿರುವ ಕಾರಣ ಸಮಂಜಸವಾಗಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂದು ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯಿಸಿದೆ.

ಸೇವೆಯಿಂದ ಬಿಡುಗಡೆಯಾಗಲು ಕೋರಿರುವ ಕಾರಣ ಸಮಂಜಸವಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯಿಸಿದೆ.

ಸೇವೆಯಿಂದ ಬಿಡುಗಡೆಯಾಗಲು ಕೋರಿರುವ ಕಾರಣ ಸಮಂಜಸವಾಗಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂದು ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯಿಸಿದೆ.

ಕಂಬಳ ಬ

ಪ್ರಂಥಗಳ ಕ

ಪ್ರಕಟಣೆಗಳ ಕ

ಅಂತರ್ಜಾಲಗಳ ಕ

ಮಾಹಿತಿಪುಸ್ತಕಗಳು -

(Sd) C S°. Balasundaranar Iyer

10-7-25 Muzrai Commissioner

"Copy

24/7/25

for Muzrai Commissioner

K.V.

SUBJECT .....

Diary No. of letter replied to 65

Section WC2

File No. ~~HC~~ 77-23

Serial No. 6

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the 192 .

No.

Date of issue 17-2-25

Signed by .....

To The Secy to Govt.

Sir,

With reference to paragraph 2 of your letter No 964 of 23-24 dated the 27th July 1925 requesting permission to have taken-down issued by you in favour of Mathadipalli, printed in the Govt Press, I am directed to state that Govt have no objection for you having them printed & the requisite

over.

of Press Authorities in this behalf

WD 0612 GPS—1500—16-9-23

I am also to request that
you will acquaint
Sparé copies of the Tabadavies
already ~~has~~ hitherto
issued from Your Office
& of those now
issued by you may be
kindly supplied to this
Office for record.

I have &c

Rev'd 2

Copies of the above is forwarded with compliments by the Supt
Gen'l Printing in Ireland
for info & such action

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by